March 4, 1959
March 19, 1959
October 9, 1959
October 26, 1959
December 14, 1959

#### MINUTES

Of the Meeting of the School of Historical Studies Held in the Director's Office, March 4, 1959 at 10:30 a.m.

Present: Professors Alföldi, Cherniss, Kantorowicz, Kennan, Meiss, Panofsky, and Woodward; the Director, being ill, was unable to attend the meeting.

- 1. The minutes of the meetings held December 8 and 17, 1958 were approved.
- 2. It was reported that Professor Wade-Gery had asked to shift his membership from the academic year 1959/60 to the second term of 1959/60 and the first term of 1960/61. It was so agreed; the amount of stipend for the second semester of 1959/60 to be one-half the original sum, or \$2,750. Approval of the stipend of \$2,750 for the first term of 1960/61 was deemed unnecessary at this time.
- 3. The executive officer presented and discussed the requests for renewal of membership received from Dr. Kurt Latte, Dr. Hans Morgenthau, and Dr. Peter Laslett. After general discussion, during which Professor Cherniss and other members suggested that the School should be sterner about renewals in general, Professor Woodward moved, and was seconded by Professor Panofsky, that these three requests should be declined. The motion was carried, Mr. Kennan making it plain that he would have preferred a different decision in the case of Dr. Morgenthau but was prepared to yield to the judgment of his colleagues.
- 4. The following applications for membership were approved:
  - (a) Miss Anna Benjamin, second term of 1959/60, with a stipend of \$3,000, less the amount of the AAUW grant if she is awarded it.
  - (b) Dr. Daniel J. Boorstin, academic year 1959/60, with a stipend of \$5,500.
  - (c) Dr. Ludwig Edelstein, academic year 1959/60, with a stipend of \$5,500, from School funds. Professor Cherniss will determine whether this sum be sufficient for Dr. Edelstein's needs and will explore other sources for additional funds if needed.
  - (d) Dr. Gaines Post, academic year 1959/60, with a stipend of \$5,000.
  - (e) Dr. Lily Ross Taylor, first term of 1959/60, with a stipend of \$3,000.
  - (f) Dr. C. A. Trypanis, academic year 1959/60, with a stipend of \$5,500 and with \$500 held in reserve should he not receive a Fulbright grant.
- 5. The following applications were declined:

Charles I. Glicksberg Frank E. Kirby Renée Lang

Shmuel Sambursky Boris Schwarz Boleslaw Szczesniak William L. Winter

6. The members of the School did not feel that they would be warranted in voting a stipend to Dr. Milton R. Konvitz from the funds allotted for the work of the School, but decided to inform the Director that they would be benevolently inclined to his appointment on other funds (provided office space is available), should the Director find this suitable.

- 7. Since of the subjects proposed by Dr. Martin Levey one theme was clearly historical and the other one clearly mathematical, it was felt that the application should be referred to and decided by the Director. The members of the School noted that Mr. Levey, who has an NSF grant, had been favorably spoken of by Dr. Neugebauer but felt that Dr. Neugebauer had not taken into consideration the fact that one of the two subjects proposed was a purely mathematical one.
- 8. The application of Dr. Henry S. Leonard, it was felt, came by mistake to the School of Historical Studies since symbolic logic is not within the scope of the School. It was suggested to submit the case, through the Director, to Professor Gödel in case the latter should be interested.
- 9. It was voted that Mr. E. F. Jacob should be asked whether he wants to stay for two semesters instead of one. The amount of \$2,000 was put in reserve should Mr. Jacob's answer be in the affirmative.
- 10. Professor Kennan mentioned the interest of Professor Ronald Robinson of Cambridge University in coming here next year and drew attention to correspondence that had not yet been circulated. It was generally recognized that Professor Robinson's proposed subject of study would hardly qualify his candidacy as one which the School could support from its own funds; on the other hand a decision could not be made at present, lacking an application, even though the supporting letters were quite strong. It was suggested to submit the case to the Director for a possible Rockefeller stipend at the next meeting of the School, presumably at the beginning of the fall semester. In the meantime Professor Kennan wanted to circulate the file of Mr. Robinson and his intended work on the history of Africa.
- 11. The report of the Committee on the use of the special fund of \$70,000 was accepted by the School. At the suggestion of Professor Panofsky it was decided that Paragraph 5 should read as follows: Scholars brought to the Institute under these arrangements will be recognized by the Administration and Faculty as constituting a special category from the standpoint of the criteria of admission and the expectations entertained with respect to the quality of their work done here. Their formal position at the Institute will be designated as that of members under the "Smith" grant. It shall be left to the Director how to spell out the designation of "Smith."
- 12. It was agreed that Dr. Lowe's eightieth birthday on October 15, 1959 should be celebrated by a dinner of the Faculty of the School of Historical Studies and it was decided to submit this suggestion to the Director.
- 13. Cognizance was taken of a suggestion for a new professorial appointment. It was felt, however, that this problem should be taken up after careful preparation at a later time, probably not before September 1959. At that meeting the general policy of the School with regard to permanent appointments should be discussed broadly.
- 14. Professor Woodward was unanimously elected the executive officer for the year 1959/60.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:53 p.m.

### MINUTES

A meeting of the School of Historical Studies was held in the Director's Office at 11 a.m. on Thursday, March 19. The Director and the following members of the School were present: Professors Alföldi, Cherniss, Kantorowicz, Kennan, Meiss, Panofsky, and Woodward.

- 1. The minutes of the previous meeting were approved, with a change in the last sentence of paragraph 7. This sentence was altered to read "The members of the School noted that the historical work of Dr. Levey, who has a N.S.F. grant, had been favourably spoken of by Dr. Neugebauer."
- 2. The Director informed the School that he considered Drs. Konvitz and Levey suitable for membership of the Institute (see items 6 and 7 of the minutes of the previous meeting), and that he had sent them invitations. Their membership would not involve any charge on the stipend funds of the School. The Director was also in communication with Professor Gödel with regard to the application of Dr. Leonard (see item 8 of the minutes of the previous meeting).
- 3. The Faculty accepted the revised draft of the Sub-Committee appointed to consider the use to be made of the donation of \$70,000. The following changes were made in the draft:
  - Par. 1. Delete lines 1 and 2; in line 3, for "will" read "shall"; in lines 4 and 5, for "promising younger scholars" read "younger scholars of exceptional ability and promise"; line 6, for "within two or three years of" read "within two or three years after."

Delete the whole of paragraph 3 and re-number the remaining paragraphs.

Par. 5, old numbering. Delete the last sentence and in the penultimate sentence for "members under the Smith grant" read "members under the Herodotus Fund."

A copy of the text as finally accepted by the School is attached to these minutes.

- 4. The School reviewed, in the light of a statement by Professor Morgenthau to the Director that he might be able to dispense with any stipend from the Institute, their previous decision that they were unable to renew the membership of Professor Morgenthau for the year 1959/60. They decided unanimously that the question of stipend was not relevant to their decision; the decision had been taken on general grounds, and was one of principle, i.e., that, other than in exceptional circumstances, it was undesirable to extend our invitations beyond two consecutive terms. The School therefore concluded that they were unable to change their previous decision with regard to Professor Morgenthau's application.
- 5. The Director informed the School that he had decided that it would be appropriate to use part of a donation from Mr. John Donaldson to cover the grant of \$5,500 made to Mr. Derek Price for 1958/59 from the stipend fund, and that he had so informed Mr. Donaldson. The balance of the stipend fund was thus increased by \$5,500, and stood at \$7,550.

- 6. The School again considered the case of Professor R. Robinson (see item 10 of the minutes of the last meeting). In view (i) of the increase in the balance of the stipend fund, (ii) of further testimonials in Professor Robinson's favour, and (iii) of the fact that it would be too late to decide upon the application in September, it was agreed to send an invitation at once to Professor Robinson for the year 1959/60 at a stipend of \$5,500. The Director told the School that it might be possible to meet some or all of this sum from the Rockefeller grant. In sending an invitation to Professor Robinson, the School considered it necessary, in view of the pressure on our space, to add that it was not yet certain whether office room would be available for him, but that we would do everything possible to provide it.
- 7. After consideration of a letter from Professor Lang to the Director, asking whether we would receive an application for membership after 1959/60, the School decided to inform Professor Lang that it was most unlikely that we should find ourselves able to invite her to the Institute in 1960/61 or later.
- 8. It was decided that the Director should offer from the stipend fund an additional grant of \$1,000 to Professor Edelstein, in view of his special financial circumstances. The School resolved that, in future, any grant to an individual of more than \$5,500 in any one year should be regarded as an extra-ordinary commitment and discussed as such.

The meeting adjourned at 12:45 p.m.

Sir Llewellyn Woodward

February 20, 1959

The Subcommittee appointed by the School of Historical Studies to study the question of the use to be made of the \$70,000 donated for support of the work of the School has reexamined the problem, in the light of the various doubts and objections that have been raised with regard to the proposal of December 9. It now suggests the following as a formulation of the policy of the School concerning the use of this fund and the contingent problems involved.

- 1. The interest on this sum shall be regarded as available, over a trial period of three to five years, for bringing to the Institute younger scholars of exceptional ability and promise in the initial stages of their academic careers (i.e., within two or three years after the doctorate), who would normally not be eligible for membership, either for lack of a regular position to which to return or for lack of an adequate record of scholarly publication.
- 2. Members of the School who are interested in having such persons here will be on the alert for likely candidates and will bring their names before the School on suitable occasions. There is no reason why the existence of this possibility should not, in this connection, be informally made known to persons in the academic world who might be likely to know of promising candidates; but no public announcement of these arrangements will be made. If reasonable inquiry does not reveal suitable candidates, no appointments will be made.
- 3. On the other hand, it is recognized to be the policy of the School not only not to exclude persons on grounds of youth but to welcome to membership at the Institute younger scholars who can meet the normal criteria of admission. The arrangements described above shall be taken to apply only to those who would, for one of the reasons named, be unable to meet these criteria; and the admission of persons under these special arrangements shall not constitute a reason for slackening the effort to find other younger scholars who could qualify under the regular arrangements.
- 4. Scholars brought to the Institute under these arrangements will be recognized by the Administration and Faculty as constituting a special category from the standpoint of the criteria of admission and the expectations entertained with respect to the quality of their work done here. Their formal position at the Institute will be designated as that of members under the Herodotus Fund.
- 5. The working of these arrangements will be reviewed periodically, at least once a year, by the Director and the members of the School, who will be at liberty to modify them as and when the experience of their operation suggests. If, furthermore, possibilities should arise within this trial period for a use of the \$70,000 fund which would seem to the Director, after consultation with the members of the School, to be more suitable, the present arrangement should not be taken to preclude such a change.

## DRAFT

Minutes of the meeting of the School of Historical Studies Held in the Director's office on April 2, 1956 at 11:30 a.m.

Present: The Director, Professors Alföldi, Cherniss, Kantorowicz, Kennan, Meritt, Panofsky, and Woodward.

- 1. The minutes of the meeting held on March 27, 1956 were approved, with the addition of the following sentence to Item 2: "It was agreed that in any case the sum of \$800 will unexpended in the stipend fund would be appropriated for this purpose."
- 2. Dr. Oppenheimer reported that he had presented to the Budget Committee of the Institute in a meeting held last Thursday an increased stipend budget for the School of Historical Studies in the amount of \$25,000. This increased appropriation was approved, thus bringing to \$85,000 the amount of money available for members in 1956/57. This fund is cumulative.
- 3. Professor Cherniss brought to the attention of the members of the School a list of commitments to date for the academic year 1956/57 and then mentioned the problem of office space. Dr. Oppenheimer suggested that, as in the case of the School of Mathematics, some of the members be asked to share offices if it is necessary.
- 4. It was agreed to extend membership to Mr. Peter Corbett for the second term of the academic year 1956/57 with a stipend of \$2,500, if he submits the formal application that is expected from him.
- 5. It was voted tentatively to offer membership to Mr. John Crow for the first term of 1956/57 with a maximum grant of \$3,000. Final action on this matter is to be conditional upon the decision of Professor Woodward after he has received further information for which he has asked.
- 6. After discussion of the application of Dr. Elias Denissoff, it was agreed that the Executive Officer should tell Dr. Denissoff that we are unable to offer him membership for the coming academic year but to encourage him to apply at a future time.
- 7. After discussion concerning the qualifications of Mr. George Stiner and his project for work at the Institute, it was agreed to postpone decision on this application, pending further study of Mr. Steiner's published articles. Dr. Oppenheimer proposed that Mr. Steiner be invited for the calendar year 1957, should the opinion of the School be in favor of extending membership to him. It is understood that the stipend for Mr. Steiner if he should be granted membership will be charged to the Director's Fund.
- 8. It was agreed to extend membership to Professor Sidney Ratner without stipend for the first term of 1956/57 with the understanding that Professor Ratner be informed that office space may not be available.

- 9. It was voted to give Professor Enrico de'Negri a stipend of \$2,500 to enable him to remain at the Institute for the second term of 1956/57.
- 10. It was voted to make a grant to Professor F. G. Heymann to supplement his Guggenheim fellowship and provide him with a total sum of \$4,500, if his application to the Guggenheim Foundation is successful. The full sum of \$4,500 will be made available to Mr. Heymann if he does not obtain the Guggenheim grant.
- 11. Professor Kantorowicz reported that the plans of Professor Peter Charanis for the coming academic year are still unsettled. He has applied for a Guggenheim grant and in case this application is successful he may wish to spend the whole year at Dumbarton Oaks and probably will max in any case wish to spend the first term there. It was agreed to reserve for Dr. Charanis the sum of \$1,000 in addition to the sum of \$1,500 already voted him, this additional sum to be granted in the event that his application to the Guggenheim Foundation is unsuccessful and he wishes to spend the second term of 1956/57 at the Institute.
- 12. It was agreed to extend membership to Professor P. E. Schramm for the second term of 1956/57, pending word from Dr. Schramm that he is able to come to the Institute at that time. A stipend will be granted from Professor Kantorowicz' assistant's fund.
- 13. An additional sum of \$200 for travel funds was voted to Professor H. T. Wade-Gery, bringing the travel grant to \$1,000 and thus making the total stipend \$6,000, as agreed at the last meeting.
- 14. Professor Panofsky presented the application for membership from Professor Michelangelo Muraro and asked that, since additional funds are now available, this application be considered for the academic year 1956/57. It was agreed that, if Professor Muraro is able to come to the Institute next year, he should be extended membership with a stipend of \$4,500 and an additional sum of \$500 for trayel if necessary.
- 15. Professor Panofsky raised the question of the feasibility of extending an invitation for membership in the Institute to a scholar now in Poland. He had in mind the specific case of Professor Dr. Jan Bialostocki and desired to know whether, if he made such a nomination and the School approved of it, there would be any difficulty in giving permission for such a member to enter the United States. Dr. Oppenheimer said that he was unable to give an informed answer to this question, explained the difficulties inherent in the putting of such a theoretical question to the appropriate governmental agency, and called attention to the fluidity of the current situation in respect of travel and scholarly exchanges between this country and those having Communist governments. He promised to make such inquiries as might seem to him to be fruitful and to keep the faculty informed of the possibilities as they might develop.

The meeting was adjourned at 1 p.m.

#### DRAFT MINUTES

Of the meeting of the School of Historical Studies Held in the Director's Office, October 9, 1959 at 11:30 a.m.

Present: The Director, and Professors Cherniss, Kantorowicz, Kennan, Meiss, Meritt, Panofsky, and Woodward

- The minutes of the previous meeting were approved.
- The action taken regarding an increase of \$500 in Mr. Mack-Smith's stipend, in view of his marriage, was approved.
- 3. The School noted the changes in membership mentioned under Item 3 in the Agenda for the meeting.

After the meeting the Executive Officer inquired whether School wished to postpone until the December meeting a decision in the case of Dr. Kahane. A majority of the members of the School was in favor of postponement.

- 4. (a) The School approved an invitation to Professor S. G. Checkland of the University of Glasgow to membership of the School with a stipend of \$3,500 for the second term of the year 1959/60. The School invited the Executive Officer to inform Professor Checkland (simultaneously with the letter of invitation from the Director) that it might not be possible to provide him with an office at the Institute for the whole of the term, but that in any case he would find adequate provision for his work.
  - (b) An application for membership for the academical year 1959/60 from Mrs. Claude Kacser was not accepted.
- 5. The School considered Professor Weitzmann's request for a part-time assistant and agreed with the proposal from the Director that he (the Director) should recommend to the Trustees that the Institute provide an annual sum not exceeding \$2,000 on condition that a similar sum were provided by the University to meet Professor Weitzmann's request.
- 6. The School did not wish to accept Professor Buchthal's suggestion for a reduction in his stipend in view of the fact that his wife will not be with him for the second term of his membership. If, however, pressure on space in the larger houses of the Project should render it necessary, Professor Buchthal might be asked to move to a bachelor apartment.
- 7. An application from Dr. Martin Levey for the extension of his membership for a second year, i.e. 1960/61, was considered in the light of Minute 4 of the previous meeting. The Executive Officer was instructed to tell Dr. Levey that a decision in the matter would not be reached before December, but, in view of the general policy of the Institute, it was unlikely that we could meet his request.

- 8. An application from Professor Kurt Latte for renewal of his membership in 1961/62 (with an indirect suggestion that he would be able to come in 1960/61) was not accepted.
- 9. The Director informed the School of an application from Dr. Herbert Feis for an extension of his membership to the end of the second term of the present academical year. The School accepted the Director's proposal that this extension should be granted.
- 10. The Director circulated an answer to a letter which he had sent to various institutions regarding membership under the Herodotus Fund. Professor Kennan also suggested a possible candidate for membership under the Fund. It was decided to obtain more information about these candidates and to consider the matter later in the term.
- 11. It was agreed to send a telegram in the names of the Director and all members of the School at present in residence to Dr. E. A. Lowe in Oxford to congratulate him on his eightieth birthday.
- 12. The Director mentioned that the Trustees had made it possible for Professor Woodward to make effective use of his membership in the Institute by visiting it, if circumstances permitted, annually during five years after his retirement from his professorship in 1960.

The Director also proposed that the Faculty meet as soon as possible to consider the possibility of an appointment to a professorship in some branch of modern history. It was agreed to hold a meeting for this purpose on October 26 at 4 p.m.

Sir Llewellyn Woodward Executive Officer

#### DRAFT MINUTES

Of the meeting of the School of Historical Studies Held in the Director's Office, October 26, 1959, at 4 p.m.

Present: The Director, and Professors Cherniss, Kanterowicz, Kennan, Meiss, Meritt, Panofsky, and Woodward

- The minutes of the previous meeting were approved.
- The Director reported that he had replied in the negative to an application for membership from Mr. Wu.
- 3. The Director introduced a discussion on possible candidates for a professorship at the Institute in some branch of modern history.

  A general survey of the field was made, and a number of names suggested by members of the School. A short list of a preliminary kind was drawn up, and it was agreed that further information should be obtained about the scholars named in this list. A copy of the list is filed in the secretary's office.
- 4. No date was fixed for another meeting to continue the discussion, but it was envisaged that such a meeting might be held toward the end of the present term.
- 5. The meeting adjourned at 5:40 p.m.

Sir Llewellyn Woodward Executive Officer

### DRAFT MINUTES

Of the meeting of the School of Historical Studies Held in the Director's Office, December 14, 1959, at 10:30 a.m.

Present: The Director, and Professors Alföldi, Cherniss, Kantorowicz, Kennan, Meiss, Meritt, Panofsky, and Woodward.

- 1. The minutes of the meeting of October 26, 1959 were approved.
- 2. The Director mentioned to the School that Professor Neugebauer's present membership will expire on June 30, 1960. It was resolved unanimously to recommend to the Faculty the continuation of Professor Neugebauer's membership until June 1969, i.e. the June following his seventieth birthday, with the provision that he be free to come whenever he is able to do so. The School also agreed to reconsider Professor Neugebauer's position at any time during this period if circumstances should make it desirable.
- It was agreed that Dr. Peter Kahane should be asked to send in a new application before December 1, 1960 if he wishes to apply for membership in 1961/62.
- 4. Professor Kennan made an interim report on his inquiries with regard to possible candidates for permanent membership. After a short discussion it was agreed that Professor Kennan should continue his inquiries and that a full discussion should be held in early March.
- 5. The School discussed whether the grants made to visiting members were adequate. It was agreed that, in accordance with the practice of the other School, some differentiation should continue to be made in the case of married men. The following scale was adopted:

## For one term

## For two terms

Bachelor	\$3000.	Bachelor \$5000
Married man with no		Married man with no children 5500
children	3000	Married man with children 5500 with
Married man with		additional \$250 for each
children (irrespec-	-	child to a maximum of two
tive of number)	3500	

(These figures do not include the \$500 made available as a travel grant to overseas candidates who do not receive a Fulbright or other travel grant)

6. Agreement was reached on the names of scholars to be invited to membership during the academic year 1960/61. Two members were admitted who will be supported by the Director's Fund. (A list of names and stipends proposed is attached to these minutes. A list is also attached of applications which the School was unable to accept.)

- 7. It was agreed to elect to membership under the Herodotus Fund Mr. Alan Heimert and Mr. David H. Wright, with a grant of \$5,000 each for the year, suitably modified in the event that Mr. Heimert is supported by a Rockefeller grant. With the approval of the School the Director stated that he would tell the donor of these elections and of the satisfaction of the School at securing two excellent candidates.
- 8. It was agreed that Professor Woodward should write to Mr. Francis, Librarian of the British Museum, to invite him on behalf of the School to spend a few days at the Institute and, if possible, to lecture on the lines of his recent lecture at the American Philosophical Society, if he should be in the United States during the next few months.
- 9. No date was fixed for the next meeting but it was generally agreed that a meeting should be held early in March.

Llewellyn Woodward Executive Officer

	Name	Age	Family Status	Coming	From	Term	Proposed Grant	Amended Grant
	Amandry	48	M - 2	all	France	1	3500 - 500(T)	3500 - 500(T)
	Andrewes	50	M - 2	wife, l c.	England	1	3000 - 500(T)	3500 - 500(T)
	Bald	59	M - 1	wife	U.S.	yr	Director's Fun	d
v	.Blanckenhagen	51	S		U.S.	1	3000	3000
	Brink	53	M - 3	M - 3	England	yr	5000 - 500(T)	6000 - 500(T)
	Comfort	56	M - 2 (over 20)	none	U.S.	2	3000	3000
	Gnudi	50	S		Italy	2	3000 - 500(T)	3000 - 500(T)
	Grandjouan	31	S	y.,	U.S.	yr	5000	5000
	Heckscher *	56	M - 2	none	Holland	yr	5000 - 500(T)	5000 - 500(T)
	Ladner	55	M - 3	all	U.S.	yr	5000 no change	5000
	Leopold	46	S		U.S.	yr	5000	5000
	Miles	56	M - 1 (over 20)	uncertain	U.S.	2	3000	3000
	Miner	56	S		U.S.	yr	5000	5000
	Pflaum	58	M - 1 (over 20)	all	France	yr	5000 - 500(T)	5500 - 500(T)
	Prakken	45	M - 4	?	U.S.	yr	5000	6000
	Ramnoux	55	S		France	1	3000 - 500(T)	3000 - 500(T)
	Robbins	57	M	alone	U.S.	2	3000	3000
	Robinson	58	M	wife	England	1	3000 - 500(T)	3000 - 500(T)
	Röthlisberger	31	S		U.S.	yr	5000	5000
	Schmid	47	M - 1	all	Germany	yr	5000 - 500(T)	5750 - 500(T)
0	Simon	38	Div.,3c.	none	U.S.	yr	Director's Fun	d
	Seyrig	65	M - 2	wife	Lebanon	2	3000 -1000(T)	3000 -1000(T)
	Skemp	50	M	wife	England	1	3000 - 500(T)	3000 - 500(T)

Name	Age	Family Status	Coming	From	Term	Proposed Grant	Amended Grant
Stone	41	M - 2	all	England	yr	5000 - 500(T)	6000 - 500(T)
Turner *	49	M - 2	wife, c?	England	2	3000 - 500(T)	3000 - 500(T)
Wade-Gery	72	M	wife	now in US	yr	5000	5500
White	52	S		Canada	1	3000	3000

99,500 -7000(T)104,750-7000(T)

\*The Director has ruled that these grants are to be made to married members whether or not they are accompanied by wife and children. Hence the following additions should be made:

\$ 1500.

The total additional grants thus amount to \$6,750. We thus have an over-all expenditure of \$113,250. From this we can deduct \$9,000 for E.P. and G.K. research assistants. We thus have roughly \$16,000 on hand for our meeting in March.

## Rough Distribution of Visiting Members, 1960/61, among subjects

## I. CLASSICAL STUDIES

(a) Greek archaeology and history - Amandry

Andrewes Prakken Wade-Gery White

(b) Roman archaeology and history - Comfort

Pflaum Schmid Seyrig

(c) Greek Philosophy

- Ramnoux Robinson Skemp

(d) Greek and Roman Art

 v. Blanckenhagen Grandjouan

(e) Latin Literature

Brink

(f) Papyrology

- Turner

### II. MEDIEVAL AND RENAISSANCE STUDIES

(a) Arab-Byzantine relations - Miles

(b) Medieval or Renaissance Art - Gnudi

Heckscher

(c) Medieval History - Ladner

## III. MODERN SUBJECTS (since about 1550)

(a) History of Thought - Simon

(b) History of Art - Röthlisberger

(c) English History and Literature - Bald

Robbins Stone

(d) Twentieth century history - Leopold

## List of Applications not accepted by the School

M. H. Abrams

Paul Laporte

J. Murray Barbour

Richard M. Martin

Lienhard Bergel

James C. O'Flaherty

Joachim Birke

Sidney Ratner

F. P. Chambers

Paul Siwek

Lester G. Crocker

Elizabeth C. Wentworth

William M. Evan

Dorothy Wyckoff

D.W. Gotshalk

Betty G. Grossman

E. A. Gutkind

Antonin Hruby

Harry V. Jaffa

Herodotus Fund:

Alan L. Boegehold

#### DRAFT MINUTES

Of the meeting of the School of Historical Studies Held in the Director's Office, December 14, 1959, at 10:30 a.m.

Present: The Director, and Professors Alföldi, Cherniss, Kantorowicz, Kennan, Meiss, Meritt, Panofsky, and Woodward.

- 1. The minutes of the meeting of October 26, 1959 were approved.
- 2. The Director mentioned to the School that Professor Neugebauer's present membership will expire on June 30, 1960. It was resolved unanimously to recommend to the Faculty the continuation of Professor Neugebauer's membership until June 1969, i.e. the June following his seventieth birthday, with the provision that he be free to come whenever he is able to do so. The School also agreed to reconsider Professor Neugebauer's position at any time during this period if circumstances should make it desirable.
- It was agreed that Dr. Peter Kahane should be asked to send in a new application before December 1, 1960 if he wishes to apply for membership in 1961/62.
- 4. Professor Kennan made an interim report on his inquiries with regard to possible candidates for permanent membership. After a short discussion it was agreed that Professor Kennan should continue his inquiries and that a full discussion should be held in early March.
- 5. The School discussed whether the grants made to visiting members were adequate. It was agreed that, in accordance with the practice of the other School, some differentiation should continue to be made in the case of married men. The following scale was adopted:

## For one term For two terms

Bachelor	\$3000.	Bachelor \$5000
Married man with no		Married man with no children 5500
children	3000	Married man with children 5500 with
Married man with		additional \$250 for each
children (irrespec-		child to a maximum of two
tive of number)	3500	

(These figures do not include the \$500 made available as a travel grant to overseas candidates who do not receive a Fulbright or other travel grant)

6. Agreement was reached on the names of scholars to be invited to membership during the academic year 1960/61. Two members were admitted who will be supported by the Director's Fund. (A list of names and stipends proposed is attached to these minutes. A list is also attached of applications which the School was unable to accept.)

- 7. It was agreed to elect to membership under the Herodotus Fund Mr. Alan Heimert and Mr. David H. Wright, with a grant of \$5,000 each for the year, suitably modified in the event that Mr. Heimert is supported by a Rockefeller grant. With the approval of the School the Director stated that he would tell the donor of these elections and of the satisfaction of the School at securing two excellent candidates.
- 8. It was agreed that Professor Woodward should write to Mr. Francis, Librarian of the British Museum, to invite him on behalf of the School to spend a few days at the Institute and, if possible, to lecture on the lines of his recent lecture at the American Philosophical Society, if he should be in the United States during the next few months.
- 9. No date was fixed for the next meeting but it was generally agreed that a meeting should be held early in March.

Llewellyn Woodward Executive Officer

194

	Name	Age	Family Status	Coming	From	Term	Proposed Grant	Amended Grant
	Amandry	48	M - 2	all	France	1	3500 - 500(T)	3500 - 500(T)
	Andrewes	50	M - 2	wife, l c.	England	1	3000 - 500(T)	3500 - 500(T)
	Bald	59	M - 1	wife	U.S.	yr	Director's Fun	d
V	.Blanckenhagen	51	S		U.S.	1	3000	3000
	Brink	53	M - 3	M - 3	England	yr	5000 - 500(T)	6000 - 500(T)
	Comfort	56	M - 2 (over 20)	none	U.S.	2	3000	3000
	Gnudi	50	S		Italy	2	3000 - 500(T)	3000 - 500(T)
	Grandjouan	31	S		U.S.	yr	5000	5000
	Heckscher *	56	M - 2	none	Holland	yr	5000 - 500(T)	5000 - 500(T)
	Ladner	55	M - 3	all	U.S.	yr	5000 no change	5000
	Leopold	46	S		U.S.	yr	5000	5000
	Miles	56	M - 1 (over 20)	uncertain	U.S.	2	3000	3000
	Miner	56	S		U.S.	yr	5000	5000
	Pflaum	58	M - 1 (over 20)	all	France	yr	5000 - 500(T)	5500 - 500(T)
	Prakken	45	M - 4	?	U.S.	yr	5000	6000
	Ramnoux	55	S		France	1	3000 - 500(T)	3000 - 500(T)
	Robbins	57	M	alone	U.S.	2	3000	3000
	Robinson	58	M	wife	England	1	3000 - 500(T)	3000 - 500(T)
	Röthlisberger	31	S		U.S.	yr	5000	5000
	Schmid	47	M - 1	all	Germany	yr	5000 - 500(T)	5750 - 500(T)
	Simon	38	Div.,3c.	none	U.S.	yr	Director's Fun	d
	Seyrig	65	M - 2	wife	Lebanon	2	3000 -1000(T)	3000 -1000(T)
	Skemp	50	M	wife	England	1	3000 - 500(T)	3000 - 500(T)

Name	Age	Family Status	Coming	From	Term	Proposed Grant	Amended Grant
Stone	41	M - 2	all	England	yr	5000 - 500(T)	6000 - 500(T)
Turner *	49	M - 2	wife, c?	England	2	3000 - 500(T)	3000 - 500(T)
Wade-Gery	72	М	wife	now in US	yr	5000	5500
White	52	S		Canada	1	3000	3000

99,500 -7000(T)104,750-7000(T)

\*The Director has ruled that these grants are to be made to married members whether or not they are accompanied by wife and children. Hence the following additions should be made:

Mr. Heckscher \$ 1000.
Mr. Turner 500.

\$ 1500.

The total additional grants thus amount to \$6,750. We thus have an over-all expenditure of \$113,250. From this we can deduct \$9,000 for E.P. and G.K. research assistants. We thus have roughly \$16,000 on hand for our meeting in March.

## Rough Distribution of Visiting Members, 1960/61, among subjects

White

Seyrig

## I. CLASSICAL STUDIES

(a) Greek archaeology and history - Amandry
Andrewes
Prakken
Wade-Gery

(b) Roman archaeology and history - Comfort Pflaum Schmid

(c) <u>Greek Philosophy</u> - Ramnoux Robinson Skemp

(d) <u>Greek and Roman Art</u> - v. Blanckenhagen Grandjouan

(e) Latin Literature - Brink

(f) Papyrology - Turner

## II. MEDIEVAL AND RENAISSANCE STUDIES

(a) Arab-Byzantine relations - Miles

(b) Medieval or Renaissance Art - Gnudi Miner Heckscher

(c) Medieval History - Ladner

## III. MODERN SUBJECTS (since about 1550)

(a) <u>History of Thought</u> - Simon

(b) History of Art - Röthlisberger

(c) English History and Literature - Bald Robbins Stone

(d) Twentieth century history - Leopold

## List of Applications not accepted by the School

M. H. Abrams

Paul Laporte

J. Murray Barbour

Richard M. Martin

Lienhard Bergel

James C. O'Flaherty

Joachim Birke

Sidney Ratner

F. P. Chambers

Paul Siwek

Lester G. Crocker

Elizabeth C. Wentworth

William M. Evan

Dorothy Wyckoff

D.W. Gotshalk

Betty G. Grossman

E. A. Gutkind

Antonin Hruby

Harry V. Jaffa

Herodotus Fund:

Alan L. Boegehold

March 7, 1960 October 27, 1960 December 9, 1960

## Final from

## DRAFT MINUTES

Of the meeting of the School of Historical Studies Held in the Director's Office on Monday, March 7, 1960 at 11 a.m.

Present: The Director, Professors Alföldi, Cherniss, Kantorowicz, Kennan, Meiss, Meritt, Panofsky, and Woodward

- 1. The minutes of the meeting of December 14, 1959 were approved.
- 2. Professor Karl L. Preisendanz was elected to membership for the academical year 1960/61 at a stipend of \$5,500, with an additional \$500 travel allowance if he should fail to secure a Fulbright travel grant.
- 3. Mr. John M. Roberts was elected to membership for the academical year 1960/61 with a stipend of \$5,000, with an additional \$500 travel allowance if he should fail to secure a Fulbright travel grant. It was agreed that Mr. Roberts should be told that it might not be possible to provide him with an office for the first term of his residence. Professor Kennan was asked to tell Mr. Roberts that the members of the School felt a little concerned at the size of the projects on which he proposed to work during his time of membership.
- 4. Professor D. W. Bradeen was elected to membership for the second term of the academical year 1960/61 without stipend. Professor Meritt offered to provide him with office accommodation.
- 5. It was agreed that the School was not able to invite Mr. Jack Leonard Benson to membership.
- 6. The applications of Professor Robert Kann and Mr. E. W. F. Tomlin were not accepted.
- 7. Professor Meritt informed the School that he was inviting Mr. Bradeen, Mr. Fordyce Mitchell and Mr. Philip Stadter to visit the Institute during part of the summer for cooperative work in the field of Greek epigraphy. The School welcomed their visit.
- 8. The School agreed to recommend to the Faculty the renewal of membership for one year for Miss Lucy Shoe.
- 9. The Executive Officer was instructed to tell Mr. Alan Heimert in answer to a letter from him about the amount of his stipend that in accordance with the general scale of stipend for visiting members we shall be able to provide him with a stipend of \$5,000 for the year but that we cannot go beyond this figure.

- 10. The School approved a proposal by the Director that he should invite the Faculty to approve a recommendation to the Trustees that Professor Alexandre Koyré's membership should be renewed until June 1963 (i.e., when he reaches the age of retirement).
- 11. The School approved a recommendation by the Director that the Institute should become an annual subscriber to the extent of \$250 to the American Academy in Rome.
- 12. Professor Kennan made a report on possible candidates for a permanent appointment at the Institute. After some discussion the question was adjourned.
- 13. Professor Kennan raised the question whether anything could be done to assist the children of visiting members in cases where the existing elementary school facilities were inadequate. A short discussion took place on the matter but no definite conclusion was reached.
- 14. Professor Thompson was elected Executive Officer of the School for 1960/61. Professor Meritt offered to act for him until his return from Europe.

The meeting adjourned at 1 p.m.

Sir Llewellyn Woodward

I have received two further statements to be added to the Annex to Item 12 of the Minutes of March 7. The Annex, which will come up for approval with the minutes (of which it forms a part) at the next meeting of the School, now runs as follows:

Annex to Item 12 of the Minutes of the Meeting of the School of Historical Studies of March 7, 1960

(A further statement of the views expressed during the discussion minuted in Item 12 is contained in this annex.)

### 1. Professor Woodward.

Professor Woodward repeated his previous view about the desirability of adding to the School (1) a scholar in political, constitutional or economic history within the period between 1600 and 1900; (2) another American historian who would provide wider contacts than the School has at present with political, constitutional or economic historians in other American academic institutions. Professor Woodward therefore suggested that we should continue our search for an American scholar in American history who would thus meet requirements (1) and (2), but that if we failed to find an American scholar of sufficiently high qualifications in American history, we should look for one in the general field of modern history between 1600 and 1900, and that if we failed here also to find a candidate up to our standards we should try for an American scholar in ancient or medieval history. If we appoint an American scholar in ancient or medieval history, we should then try to get a scholar from Europe in some field of political, constitutional or economic history within the period 1600 to 1900. Professor Woodward does not think his proposal implies any kind of "discrimination"; we have not only a right but a duty in our elections to consider and weigh not merely the personal eminence of a scholar but the general effect which the election of such-and-such a person in such-and-such a subject may have on the balance and character of our School. We are not merely electing eminent individuals; we are building up a society.

## 2. Professor Panofsky.

Professor Panofsky objected to the suggestion that, in the case most likely to come up for action first, consideration should be given to the nationality of the candidate; he felt that in the appointment of Institute members only their individual qualifications should be considered.

## Professor Kantorowicz.

Professor Kantorowicz raised the question whether the present quest for an American-born professor in the field of American or Modern History would forestall or preclude the possibility of nominating at present a professor in another field, for example, in the field of mediaeval Latin. The Director said that he thought it did, but added that the Trustees were in favor of new appointments to the School of Historical Studies.

## 4. Professor Alföldi.

I fully agree with Professor Panofsky.

## DRAFT MINUTES

Of the meeting of the School of Historical Studies Held in the Director's office, October 27, 1969 at 11 a.m.

Present: The Director, and Professors Cherniss, Kantorowicz, Kennan, Meiss Meritt, Panofsky, and Thompson

Absent: Professor Alföldi

- 1. The minutes of the previous meeting, with annex, were approved.
- 2. The following actions taken by mail vote were approved:
  - (a) Extension of membership to Dr. Richard Robinson from the first term to full academic year, with no increase in stipend.
  - (b) Declination of membership to Dr. Herbert Cahn.
- 3. The School noted the following changes in membership for the current year:
  - (a) The Director reported that he had received a letter from M. Henri Seyrig regretfully withdrawing from membership because of his having assumed the office of Director of Museums of France. The Director was authorized, in writing to M. Seyrig, to express the hope that he might come to the Institute at some later time.
  - (b) Mr. John Roberts to be a member in the first term only rather than for the full year.
  - (c) Miss Dorothy Miner to take up membership on November 1.
  - (d) The Director reported that Dr. Karl Preisendanz had been unable to secure a visa for reasons of health and that it now seemed improbable that Dr. Preisendanz would be able to come to the Institute.
- 4. It was agreed to present before the full Faculty a proposal for the renewal of membership for Dr. Paul Frankl for a further term of three years and with an increase in stipend from \$5,000 to \$6,000.
- 5. Professor Meritt reported that Professor K. J. Dover of St. Andrews University, at present a visitor at Harvard University, had agreed to come to the Institute November 3-7 for conferences with Professor Meritt and Mr. A. Andrewes. It was agreed that a sum of \$100 from the Visitor's Fund should be made available to Professor Dover to defray his expenses. It was left to Professor Meritt to write to Mr. Dover.
- 6. In Discussion of the dinner given for new members at the beginning of the term, it was recognized that the occasion had been much appreciated by the new members and that it had proven valuable to all. It was agreed that a similar reception should be given in January for the temporary members coming for the second term only. The Director suggested that henceforth such dinners should be given on the premises of the Institute, preferably on a Saturday evening so as not to interfere with regular dining arrangements.

7. Some discussion took place regarding the question of outside lectures by temporary members of the Institute. It was generally felt that some lecturing might be beneficial both to the visiting members and to their colleagues in this country but there was also a strong feeling that visiting members should be protected from both the pressure and the temptation to spend much time in such activities. It was agreed in particular that the giving of a paid series of lectures by a temporary member should not be countenanced. The Director indicated his readiness to intervene in this matter should occasion require.

It was agreed that a list of the names of the members for the current year should be made available for publication in the American Historical Review.

8. A list was presented by the Executive Officer showing the names of candidates for membership in 1961/62 from whom applications have already been received, probable applications for the same year, and applications received for 1962/63. To the number of probable applications for 1961/62 were added the names of Dr. Stremooukhoff of the Sorbonne, and Dr. Moshe Barasch of the Hebrew University, Jerusalem.

Professor Panofsky and Professor Meiss reported that the International Congress of the History of Art would be held in New York City on September 7-12, 1961, and that this might possibly result in a larger than usual number of applications in that field for the year 1961/62.

Professor Meritt reported that the first International Congress of Classical Studies to be held in the United States would take place in 1964.

- 9. Professor Kennan reported on his correspondence with Professor Max Beloff of Oxford University concerning membership in the Institute for the first term of 1961/62. After discussion, it was agreed that an exception should be made in this case and that Dr. Beloff should be invited to membership at this time, for the first term of 1961/62 with a stipend of \$4,000 (regardless of Fulbright travel grant).
- 10. It was agreed that a special meeting to consider a permanent appointment in the School of Historical Studies should be called early in January 1961, at which time Professor Kennan will undertake to present the results of his inquiries.

Homer A. Thompson Executive Officer

Minutes of the meeting of the School of Historical Studies Held in the Director's Office, Friday, December 9, 1960 at 10:30 a.m.

Present: The Director, Professors Alföldi, Cherniss, Kantorowicz, Kennan, Meiss, Meritt, Panofsky, and Thompson

- 1. The minutes of the last meeting were approved.
- 2. It was noted that Professor Max Beloff of Oxford, in a letter addressed to Professor Kennan, informed the School that he would not be able to come to the Institute as a member in 1961/62.
- 3. Professor Kennan suggested that in the future those applications which are sponsored by one of the permanent members should be supported by a written statement to that effect. It was agreed that this would be helpful.
- 4. The following applications for membership in the year 1961/62 were declined:

Henry J. Frank
Thomas F. Gould
Betty G. Grossman
John F. McDermott
O. W. Reinmuth
Scott H. Lytle
Seymour M. Pitcher

Cornelia de Vogel Frank Brommer Kurt von Fritz P. O. Kristeller W. S. Seiferth Maurice A. Natanson

fund

In the case of Mr. Seiferth it was recommended that he be urged to reapply in the year 1962/63.

5. The following applications were accepted for the year 1961/62:

John L. Ackrill	year	\$ 6,000.	\$500 in reserve for travel
Gustave Alef	year	6,000.	
Jean Béranger	year	6,000.	
Jean Victor Bony	year	5,500.	
Andrew R. Burn	year	5,500.	500.
Evelyn B. Harrison	lst	3,000.	
L. H. Heydenreich	lst	3,000.	
P. P. Kahane	year	6,000.	500.
Konrad Kraft	year	6,000.	500.
Piers G. Mackesy	year	5,750.	500.
T. B. Mitford	lst	3,500.	500.
Stanley A. Morison	year		
George H. Nadel	year		
Herbert Nesselhauf	lst	3,500.	500.
Willibald Sauerländer	year		[\$5,500 EP assistant's

A. Strittmatter, OSB Erika Simon C.H.V. Sutherland Brian Tierney A. G. Woodhead Erwin N. Hiebert Hans Kauffmann E. S. Kennedy Robert P. Marichal Hans Hahnloser	2nd year year year year lst year year lst	3,000. 5,000. 5,500. 6,000. 3,000. 6,500. 5,750.	500. in reserve for travel 500. [5,500 plus 500,BDM Asst.Fd.] National Science Foundation 500.
Hans Hahnloser D. Strémoouhkoff	2nd	3,500. 3,000.	500.

6. Professors Meritt and Panofsky reported their intention not to have research assistants in the year 1961/62 and expressed their desire that the money thus freed be added to the Stipend Fund.

The meeting adjourned at 12:45 p.m.

Homer A. Thompson Executive Officer

Records of the School of Historical Studies / Meetings: Minutes and related documents
From the Shelby White and Leon Levy Archives Center, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ, USA

February 7, 1961 April 3, 1961 November 8, 1961 December 7, 1961 Minutes of the meeting of the School of Historical Studies
Held in the Director's Office, Tuesday, February 7, 1961 at 10:30 a.m.
(Revised February 20, 1961)

Present: The Director, Professors Alföldi, Cherniss, Kantorowicz, Kennan, Meiss, Meritt, Panofsky, and Thompson.

- 1. The minutes of the last meeting were approved.
- 2. Professor Alföldi reported that Dr. Herbert Nesselhauf, in applying for membership in 1961/62, had mistakenly applied for one term rather than the academic year. The faculty agreed to extend membership for the full year, with an increase in stipend from \$3,500 to \$6,000.
- 3. It was reported that Professor O. W. Reinmuth had requested reconsideration of his application for membership in 1961/62, stating that he would be in a position to accept membership without stipend. Professor Meritt recommended that membership should not be given to Mr. Reinmuth but that he be granted summer visiting privileges. This was accepted by the faculty.
- 4. It was agreed to recommend to the full faculty the renewal of membership for one year for Miss Lucy Shoe.
- 5. Professor Thompson reported that Miss Clairève Grandjouan had consented to give an evening lecture for Institute members on the subject "Terracottas and Popular Beliefs in the Roman Empire" on a date to be arranged.
- 6. Professor Meiss reported that Dr. Cesare Gnudi is unable to come to the Institute this term as appointed, but that he would be able to come for the second term of 1962/63. The faculty approved the postponement of membership and the Director agreed to write the letter of appointment in December.
- 7. The faculty considered the report circulated by Professor Kennan prior to the meeting concerning permanent appointments in the field of modern history. From the discussion of the names proposed in that report (M. Beloff, W. N. Medlicott, G. Craig, M. Cunliffe) a consensus evolved in favor of W. N. Medlicott, and it was agreed to propose his nomination. The Executive Officer was requested to ascertain Professor Woodward's opinion, and, if appropriate, to ask for a letter from Woodward giving his views on Medlicott for inclusion in the dossier to be brought before the full faculty and subsequently to be submitted to the Board of Trustees.
- 8. On the assurance of the Director that a nomination to permanent membership in the field of ancient or mediaeval history was also in order at this time, the name of Dr. Bernhard Bischoff was proposed by Professor Kantorowicz. This nomination was agreed upon, and Professor Kantorowicz

was delegated to secure from Professor Lowe a statement regarding Dr. Bischoff to be submitted together with his formal nomination to the full faculty and to the Trustees.

 The name of Professor H. C. Youtie, a papyrologist, was mentioned by Professor Cherniss as someone to be considered for a permanent appointment.

Professor Alföldi proposed that Dr. Henri Seyrig be considered for a professorship. It was agreed, however, that his age (65) might make an arrangement along the lines of Dr. Koyré's appointment more suitable for Dr. Seyrig. Dr. Oppenheimer suggested that Professor Alföldi explore the question with Dr. Seyrig, leaving the form of appointment open.

Professor Meiss advanced the names of Douglas Bush and Marjorie Nicolson, historians of English literature, not as candidates for permanent membership but as typical of the scholars who might extend the range of the School's work into an important period that is not cultivated at the present time.

Dr. Oppenheimer suggested that Dr. Marcus Cunliffe, one of the modern historians mentioned in Professor Kennan's report, be invited to visit the Institute some time in the future.

Homer A. Thompson Executive Officer

# THE INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY

from Professor Kennan (copies in Institute Miscellaneous--Medlicott file)

March 13, 1961

Dear Robert:

Since the meeting on Thursday, I have been reflecting further on the nomination of Medlicott. The decision taken in the School was, as you know, a hard one from my standpoint, with pros and cons. I felt, at the time of the School meeting, that the pros somewhat overweighed the cons, and that to appoint Medlicott would be better than to make no appointment at all. Now, after further consideration, and after taking into account the reaction of some of our other faculty colleagues at the Thursday meeting, I am inclined to feel that the balance is somewhat the other way, and that it would be better for us to refrain from going ahead with an appointment in this field until we have someone whose person and qualifications would engender a greater sense of enthusiasm and conviction in our midst.

I come to this view sadly, in view of my own feelings about modern history; but other considerations seem to me, in their entirety, more important.

Since I cannot be at Monday's meeting, I hope you will find some means to bring the substance of this letter to the attention of my colleagues.

This represents a belated change of mind, and I can only offer my amends for whatever inconvenience this may have caused to my colleagues.

To change one's mind seems to me reprehensible only if it is done too often. When it comes to faculty appointments it is, in any case, better than being wrong.

Sincerely yours,

Minutes of the meeting of the School of Historical Studies Held in the Director's Office, April 3, 1961, at 12 o'clock

Present: The Director, Professors Alföldi, Cherniss, Kantorowicz, Meiss, Meritt, Panofsky, and Thompson.

Absent: Professor Kennan.

- 1. The minutes of the previous meeting were approved.
- 2. The Executive Officer reported on the progress of the two nominations to permanent membership in the School of Historical Studies as proposed in the meeting of the School faculty on February 7th, 1961. The nominations were submitted for the first time to the full faculty at a meeting on March 8th, 1961. Subsequently, and after informal discussion among the members of the School faculty, it was unanimously agreed to proceed with the nomination of Bernhard Bischoff but to table the nomination of W. N. Medlicott. This decision was reported to the next meeting of the full faculty on March 20th, 1961. The nomination of Bernhard Bischoff, having proved acceptable to the full faculty, was passed on to the Trustees.
- 3. Subsequent to the last meeting a mail vote was held regarding the appointment of Dr. Jean Gottmann to membership in the School for the first term of 1961/62. The result was eight affirmative votes and one abstention. The appointment was ratified.

Questions were raised as to the propriety of renewing membership once again for Dr. Gottmann in view of the fact that membership had been refused to several applicants for 1961/62 on the ground that they had held memberships in the recent past. In the ensuing discussion two points of view emerged:

- (1) In view of the present large number of applicants and the desirability of maintaining contact with outside scholarship over a broad front, memberships should not be awarded again to the same individuals except after a considerable interval.
- (2) Experience has shown that outstanding members who have found working conditions at the Institute congenial have commonly welcomed the opportunity to return, and on returning have been able to work with even greater satisfaction both to themselves and to the permanent members with whom they have been associated.

The consensus appeared to be that the present practice of favoring new applications over requests for renewal after a short interval should be maintained in "self defence", but that exceptions should be tolerated particularly when a renewal would be advantageous to the scholarly program of a permanent member.

- 4. The following changes in membership for 1961/62 were reported:
  - a. C. H. V. Sutherland, having found it impossible to take up his membership for 1961/62, has expressed the hope that the offer may be renewed; he has been assured that a renewal will be possible.
  - b. The appointment of R. P. Marichal has been reduced at his own request from the full year to the first term.
  - c. Jean V. Bony has been compelled by domestic circumstances to give up his membership.
- 5. In view of the availability of funds and space, it was agreed to offer membership to Paul O. Kristeller of Columbia University for the first term of 1961/62 with a stipend of \$3,000, and to Mile. Marie-Thérèse d'Alverny of the Bibliothèque Nationale for the second term of 1961/62, with a stipend of \$3,000 and an additional sum of \$500 for transportation.
- 6. It was agreed to offer the hospitality of the Institute to Professor Victor Ehrenberg of London for a period of not more than one month in the autumn of 1962 when Professor Ehrenberg is to give the Martin Classical Lectures at Oberlin College. The Executive Officer was instructed to make this offer known to Professor Charles Murphy of Oberlin College.
- 7. Professor Alföldi was elected Executive Officer for the session 1961/62.

Homer A. Thompson Executive Officer Minutes of the meeting of the School of Historical Studies Held in the Director's office, Wednesday, November 8, 1961 at 11 a.m.

Present: The Director, and Professors Alföldi, Cherniss, Kantorowicz, Meiss, Meritt, Panofsky, and Thompson.

- 1. The minutes of the last meeting were approved.
- 2. The following action taken by mail vote was approved: A supplementary grant of \$1,250 to Dr. W. Sauerländer.
- 3. The School noted the following changes in memberships for the current year:
- (a) The resignation of Dr. E. S. Kennedy. Dr. Oppenheimer reported that Dr. Kennedy would, however, be in residence at the Institute during the summer of 1962 and that a special grant from Dr. Neugebauer's funds would be given him.
- (b) The resignation of Dr. Hans Kauffmann. It was noted that while Dr. Kauffmann had resigned his membership for the first term, he had been notified that the Institute would welcome him in the second term if he were able to come at that time.
- (c) It was noted that Mr. Stanley Morison's membership had been reduced, at his request, from the full year to the second term only.
  - (d) The School noted with regret the death of Dr. Stremooukhoff.
- 4. Professor Meiss reported that Dr. Hans Hahnloser would like to extend his membership to include the second term. The faculty agreed and a grant of \$3,000 was voted.
- 5. The following invitations to membership, postponed from the previous year, were voted:
- (a) Dr. Cesare Gnudi for the second term of 1962/63, with a grant of \$3,000 and \$500 to be held in reserve for travelling expenses.
- (b) Dr. C.H.V. Sutherland for the year 1962/63, with a grant of \$5,500 and \$500 to be held in reserve for travel.
- 6. The School noted the list of candidates for membership in 1962/63 and those from whom applications may be received. To the latter list was added the name of Dr. Charles Barker to whom a short form had been sent by the Director.

- 7. Professor Meritt asked for the approval of the School with regard to the use of a portion of his assistant's fund in bringing to the Institute a young man as assistant to Mr. Charles Edson, a candidate for membership in 1962/63, should Mr. Edson be voted membership. The School agreed.
- 8. Professor Alföldi reminded the faculty that statements should be prepared for those applicants they are sponsoring for the coming year 1962/63.
- Professor Alföldi asked for discussion of professorial assistants and their position at the Institute. Dr. Oppenheimer outlined the history of the appointment of assistants, saying that in making permanent appointments to the Faculty, each professor is told that he is entitled to an assistant. This was conceived in terms of a relatively short-term appointment for a relatively young man and posed no great problem of the adequacy of the salary nor of the academic career of the assistant. There have been other arrangements whereby the assistant was more or less permanent (Dr. Meyer, who was assistant to Dr. Einstein, Mr. Stamires, and James John). There is, however, sufficiently great difference in the human problems and academic problems of the two kinds of appointments and the two must not be confused. The use of the title of "research associate" for the more permanent arrangement might be useful. The more permanent type of arrangement should be funded and negotiated as separate from the regular type of professorial assistant, as in the case of Mrs. Morand who has a three-year appointment, negotiated by Professor Meiss. The Director said that for older men, and lasting appointments, assistants salaries were clearly inadequate, and that there would be budgetary questions involved in such appointments. Families grow and some thought needs to be given to this. asked whether the appointment of research associates ought to be discussed by the School. Professor Cherniss stated that the longer term associations should be discussed by the faculty of the School. Professor Alföldi stressed the point of the usefulness of an assistant in the longer-range research projects of the professors of the School of Historical Studies and then asked the School for its approval of a five-year appointment for Dr. Stephen Foltiny as a research associate.

After discussion the Director proposed that Dr. Foltiny be told that a discussion of a long-range character of his association with the Institute had taken place and that the Director would take it up with the Trustees.

Professor Meritt and Professor Thompson spoke of the benefit they derived from Dr. Foltiny's presence at the Institute. Professor Meritt proposed that a part of his assistant's fund be used to supplement Dr. Foltiny's salary. The Director stated that with an arrangement of this kind it probably would be possible to find an adequate salary for Dr. Foltiny within the normal assistants' budget.

Professor Kantorowicz and Professor Panofsky suggested that similar considerations apply for Dr. James John.

10. Dr. Oppenheimer reported that he had received a letter from Professor Arthur Link expressing concern about the Institute continuing to be a place where diplomatic history could be written. Dr. Oppenheimer said that he had, in the light of Dr. Link's letter, met with both Professor Robert Palmer and Professor Link and had asked them to serve as advisers in suggesting people good enough to come here, and to bear in mind that we still welcome good modern historians.

The meeting adjourned at 12:15 p.m.

Minutes of the meeting of the School of Historical Studies Held in the Director's Office, December 7, 1961, at 10:30 a.m.

Present: The Director, Professors Alföldi, Cherniss, Kantorowicz, Meiss, Meritt, Panofsky, and Thompson.

- 1. The minutes of the last meeting were approved, with the following emendations: paragraph 8, replace the word "faculty" by "school members"; paragraph 10, substitute "qualified" for "good enough."
- 2. The executive officer presented the list of candidates for membership in the academic year 1962/63. The following applications were accepted:

\$ 5,500.	
5,500	\$500 in reserve for travel
5,750	500
5,500	
6,000	
3,500	500
5,500	500
3,000	500
5,500	
5,750	500
3,500	500
5,000	500
6,000	500
3,500	
6,000	
3,000	500
6,000	500
6,000	
	5,500 5,750 5,500 6,000 3,500 5,500 5,500 5,750 3,500 6,000 3,500 6,000

In connection with the application of Dr. Gilliam, there was discussion of revenants. Dr. Oppenheimer stated that he felt the rule which automatically excluded people who have been at the Institute in the last four or five years has not had altogether wise or happy results. The problem must be faced: such a rule must be observed strictly and with precision: or it should be dropped, and earlier memberships be regarded only as a relevant factor in considering applicants. As it is, there is bitterness from its unequal application. Professor Cherniss stated that he was not opposed to the appointment of Dr. Gilliam but that he would understand such action to abolish the rule of revenants and that it could never be argued again. Professor Thompson said that the rule should be considered to play a secondary part. This view was agreed upon.

3. The following appointments were made under the Herodotus Fund:

Brian A. Sparkes	2nd term	\$ 3,500	\$500	in reserve	for	travel
Egon Verheyen	year	5,500	500			

4. The following applications for membership in 1962/63 were declined:

John P. Anton Charles A. Barker Nabih Amin Faris John P. A. Gould Lewis Hertzman Hans F. Hofmann Gisela Krien-Kummrow Louis Morton
Helen A. B. Rivlin
W. S. Seiferth
Paolo Vivante
Sidney Warren
Jean-Paul Weber
William L. Winter

Decision on Dr. Tahsin Özgücts application was postponed indefinitely.

- 5. After discussion it was agreed that Dr. Stephen Foltiny should be given a four and a half year appointment as research associate to Professor Alföldi, effective January 1, 1962, with a salary of \$9,000. The additional sum of \$3,000 needed as a complement to his present salary of \$6,000 is to be provided each year from one or more contributions from the assistants funds of the other professors in the School. Professor Meritt proposed that the balance of his assistants fund be used in the year 1962/63, after \$1,000 is set aside for Mr. John Houman, his parttime assistant for that year. Professor Kantorowicz stated that he is spending only half of his assistant's fund.
- 6. The executive officer reported that Dr. Robert Marichal would give a lecture in the Common Room on December 14, and that Miss Erika Simon would be willing to give a paper on "The Villa of the Mysteries" some time in January.
- 7. The executive officer reported that Professor Hetty Goldman would celebrate her 80th birthday on December 19. It was agreed that someone should visit Miss Goldman on that day and Dr. Oppenheimer said that he would try to go; failing that, he would ask a member of the School to go.

The meeting adjourned at 12:50 p.m.

January 25, 1962

February 23, 1962

April 4, 1962

October 8, 1962

December 3, 1962

Minutes of the meeting of the School of Historical Studies Held in the Director's Office, January 25, 1962 at 11 a.m.

Present: The Director, Professors Alföldi, Cherniss, Kantorowicz, Meiss, Meritt, Panofsky, and Thompson

- The minutes of the last meeting were approved.
- 2. The following actions taken by mail vote were approved:
  - (a) Membership to Dr. Luisa Banti for the year 1962/63, with a stipend of \$5,000, and \$500 in reserve for travel, to be provided by Professor Thompson's assistant's fund.
  - (b) Membership to Dr. Marshall Clagett for the second term of the year 1962/63, without stipend.
- 3. Professor Kantorowicz reported that Dr. Anselm Strittmatter, O.S.B. would be unable to take up his membership this term and asked for postponement of membership to the first or second term of the year 1962/63. The postponement was approved and Professor Kantorowicz agreed to settle the term of membership before the letter of appointment is written.
- 4. It was agreed to recommend to the full faculty the renewal of membership for one year for Miss Lucy Shoe.
- 5. Professor Alföldi opened the discussion of a permanent appointment to the faculty and stated: "By our last vote on a permanent appointment, Professor Cherniss made the statement that before the discussion of a special case the survey of all the eventual propositions considered by colleagues is desirable. I think also a new situation necessitates a reconsideration of our general policy. I hope you will approve it if we follow at this time this reasonable way of procedure."

Dr. Oppenheimer said that we were not prepared for a general discussion since the meeting had been called to consider a specific appointment and this seemed to be the general feeling of the members. Dr. Oppenheimer said that it was right and desirable to preserve the continuity of studies already pursued here and that there was some advantage to making a new appointment before the retirement of a professor in a specific field. He stated that if the members decided there was no one worthy to sit in Professor Meritt's chair, then it was conceivable that we should have to consider other fields and widen the discussion.

There followed discussion of the overlap in time, a period of some seven years, when there would be two members representing the field of Greek epigraphy. In reply to a remark on the presence of two art historians on the Faculty, Professor Panofsky pointed out that the cases are not analogous. The duplication in the history of art was caused by the unforeseeable accident that the retirement age of Institute professors was

extended by two years quite shortly after Professor Meiss had accepted his appointment so that an overlap originally estimated at about one year grew to about three. The case under discussion today, however, would constitute an actual duplication for a period up to seven years rather than a successorship implying a short-term overlap.

Professor Meritt stated that he had culled over the world in the search for a good man in the field of epigraphy and out of that search, which had beengoing on for some time, there emerged one man: Geoffrey Woodhead. "Woodhead seems to command universal respect in many ways not only for his scholarship but for his cooperativeness and his willingness to shoulder the burden of a great amount of epigraphical work that really needs to be done." Professor Meritt referred to the biographical material concerning Mr. Woodhead, already circulated to the members, and also read a letter from Dr. Klaffenbach which had arrived too late to be circulated.

Professor Meritt: I will lay all my cards on the table. What I should like is to have Woodhead appointed as a professor and colleague and have him take over his duties in the summer of 1963. That would give him what I would regard as time enough to arrange his own affairs and join us. We probably won't increase our span here beyond the age of 70. It would be a good thing, I think, if Woodhead and I could be together for some four or five years. I would hate to think that this would upset the balance of the work of our School because I don't regard the balance just per se as anything that really has to be maintained. I will ultimately retire and the desideratum of having only one epigrapher will then be fulfilled. But in the meantime the two of us could do a lot of very useful things. Having found a man and having got a consensus about him, a man whose accomplishments are truly remarkable, I think that the fact that he has not yet written a big book does not weigh in his case. I regard Tod as an epigrapher above all other epigraphers -- he is the dean of our profession -- and he wrote regarding Woodhead that he found it difficult not to seem to exaggerate the candidate's good qualities. I feel the way Tod does: I don't want to seem to exaggerate. He has penetrating insight, he covers a vast field, I regard his scholarly ability as really something most remarkable, and in addition he writes in a pleasing and fluent way that everyone can understand. If he could come to work with me for four or five years I would be very happy indeed. I intend, if I am able, to go on until retirement age but it may not turn out that I can do so. I would like the group to consider very seriously whether it would be a bad thing to have Woodhead here; rather, would it do good or harm? I think it would be only good.

Dr. Oppenheimer raised two points: I don't suppose there is anyone who would not like a colleague of great skill on the faculty with him. I have met this situation in physics where the collaboration of Yang and Lee proved to be a success. In this School we are always limited more

than should be—and I hope more in the past than in the future—but still limited in the availability of resources. There is the struggle over memberships, which you have always done well, but not without pain. I would say that I think of a professorship as involving about one million dollars of our money; but in addition it involves provision for the members whose coming here is really one of the main functions of this Institute, and if Woodhead's coming on the faculty would double the epigraphical membership it would seriously limit the extent to which we could work in other fields. If it will not affect membership, then there is no problem.

My second point is this: would you guess that four, five, six years from now Woodhead will have come to a position in England where he would prefer to stay on there?

Professor Meritt: I would think the prospects of his advancement in England are very good, but humanists, and Greek epigraphers in particular, do not stand so good a chance of other competitive bids as do scholars in the scientific fields. I think that we could probably call him later. I must in all honesty say that.

Professor Cherniss: I should like to emphasize the fact that the last point Ben made seems to me to be the most important one. The advantages of overlapping are recognizable and also the disadvantages of perhaps too much overlapping are recognizable and there are other considerations to be seen; but it seems to me that the primary and over-riding consideration in any such appointment is the question of the man himself and it is on this basis that I feel enthusiastic about the suggestion. I think the fact that Woodhead is young and has already done so much and promises even more is in itself reason for our trying to get him now, if he is the kind of man we want on the faculty. I feel that he is the sort of person I would be glad to see on this faculty.

Dr. Oppenheimer: There is another point. A professorial appointment here has, of course, this symbolic value of making the Institute a center of study in the field, but it is not only that; it is our hope that in general a professorship at the Institute is a good place for a professor to get his work done. Would Woodhead's presence here in the next six or seven years improve the work in epigraphy?

Professor Thompson: I assume that if Woodhead were to come here now one of his principal concerns would be to collaborate with B. Meritt in the publication of the 7000-odd inscriptions from the Athenian Agora. In view of the proven compatibility of the two scholars, this arrangement would guarantee the completion of the publication of the inscriptions in good time and in the best possible form. Personally I would most heartily welcome a substantial overlap in time.

Dr. Oppenheimer: On the administrative side I shall say that next year there will be six of you around this table. I am prepared to guarantee that the Trustees of the Institute will not foreclose the appointment of others. This reassurance I can give you. You do not need to feel that this appointment is competitive.

Professor Meiss: Concerning the problem of memberships, which is linked with professorships—would there be a proportionate increase in the membership budget if the size of the faculty is increased? If we were now, by some extraordinary meeting of minds, to add three professors instead of one, would this become a problem?

Dr. Oppenheimer said he felt that this would involve new considerations and that the Trustees understood the situation, and had approved an enlargement of the School.

Professor Alföldi: We know from such a reliable and highly competent expert in Greek epigraphy as Professor Meritt is that his candidate is a well trained and gifted scholar. His bibliography shows his zeal and energy. We realize, too, that for the great enterprise of the Agora excavations, where thousands of inscriptions must be studied and published, the presence of a fresh, permanent collaborator is highly wanted. The personality of the candidate is pleasant. The desire of his sponsor, to preserve the continuity of his scientific enterprise, is that of all and every one of us.

However, there are two serious reasons which speak against the appointment of Woodhead as a member of the permanent faculty. First, the duplication of a special chair for seven or eight years in such a small group is, at least for me, undesirable. Secondly, he has no major contribution of great consequence, no comprehensive fundamental single achievement yet.

These two difficulties could be overcome in a simple way, by appointing him to a permanent membership with a good salary, yet without a chair, avoiding this way the duplication and giving him a chance to reach the level of his predecessor in the years ahead. He is young and has time to wait and to prove his qualities here, with us.

I know that there was a trend years ago to eliminate the category I have in mind. But this is a special situation affording special treatment, as was the case of Weitzmann, which was handled in a special way and with full success.

Dr. Oppenheimer: In making a permanent appointment we assume an obligation for a man's future; to do this without the maximum of honor and dignity seems to me to be troublesome.

Dr. Oppenheimer cited the case of Dr. Placzek and others in demonstration of the problems involved in making permanent appointments without faculty status.

Professor Cherniss: I was in the early years very eager to see this kind of intermediate classification abolished. All of the difficulties inherent in it had become painfully evident. I was very glad to see this kind of appointment finally eliminated and I should be extremely sorry to see it reinstated.

Professor Meiss: I have not the slightest doubt about the wisdom of Professor Meritt's nomination of Woodhead as a successor, but I am not convinced on the question of time. It has not been made clear, for one thing, that a greater concentration in one field in the faculty will not result in an equally great concentration in our limited memberships. More important is a general consideration. I think that our faculty will have much less difficulty in continuing disciplines already represented than in moving into new ones. Now some of us are agreed that a post-medieval historian is much needed. Next year there will be seated around this table four classical scholars and only two post-classical ones. I hope that we will use this occasion to consider the appointment of a Renaissance or post-Renaissance historian. Recently I have been thinking about a candidate, an eminent historian of English literature. Rosemond Tuve, who happens to have been a visiting professor at the University this past term. I would like to look further into her candidacy. Apart from the question of a "modern" historian I would recommend that the School, on grounds of good policy, refrain from taking action on so important a matter as a professorship at the same meeting at which the candidate is initially presented. Failure to deliberate, I think, got us into trouble on an earlier occasion.

Professor Alföldi: I wish to make a small correction to Professor Meiss's statement. Instead of classical studies we should recall that we have three Greek scholars, which would increase with the new appointment to four, whereas we have only one chair for Roman studies. It is obvious that for problems of philosophy, morality, art, and spiritual life in general Greece is the largest source of our knowledge. But it is equally obvious that the world-wide Roman empire offers the decisive comparison for the evolutions of our time concerning politics, administration and law, social questions, economic crises.

Professor Kantorowicz: Our greatest difficulty is to find the man, and not what field we are to expand into. I think it would be very foolish, assuming that Woodhead is the man we want, for us not to appoint him until we have found someone in the 17th century field. We must be clear on the question whether or not we want Woodhead here, quite apart from other considerations.

Professor Panofsky: We are agreed that Woodhead's appointment would not preclude other appointments. Couldn't we really postpone the whole discussion and then in the meantime think of another appointment and present two at the same time?

Following a discussion of making another appointment to the faculty in post-Greek studies, Dr. Oppenheimer said: The School would like a little time. Perhaps this time will lead to the proposal of two candidates. The School is not turning down Woodhead as a sole applicant but it would feel better if there were a little time. It would not be good to cancel Woodhead's candidacy. I sense an anxiety which should not be ignored.

It was proposed, and accepted, that the School hold a meeting on February 13.

Minutes of the Meeting of the School of Historical Studies Held in the Director's Office, February 23, 1962 at 11 a.m.

Present: The Director, Professors Alföldi, Cherniss, Kantorowicz, Meiss, Meritt, Panofsky, and Thompson.

- The minutes of the last meeting were approved. In connection with the minutes, Professor Alföldi read a letter from Professor Thompson which clarified the overlap in time between Professor Meritt's retirement and the proposed appointment of Mr. Woodhead, namely six years.
- 2. The Executive Officer reported the following changes in memberships for the year 1962/63:
  - (a) Dr. Otto Skutsch accepted membership for the second term of the year 1962/63 instead of the full year.
  - (b) Dr. Roland Martin was not able to accept membership.
  - (c) Dr. Paul Frankl's death on January 30, 1962.
- 3. The Executive Officer asked for discussion of the nomination of Mr. Geoffrey Woodhead for a permanent professorship. Professor Meritt said he would like to repeat his recommendation to the School that Mr. Woodhead be offered an appointment in the summer of 1963. He said that he was more and more impressed that Woodhead is now ready; that we need him and that he needs us, and that he is the ideal man, commanding universal respect.

Professor Kantorowicz suggested that the presentation should not refer to Mr. Woodhead as a successor to Professor Meritt. Professor Cherniss agreed with this suggestion and then moved that the School recommend to the full Faculty the appointment of Mr. Woodhead to a professorship.

Professor Alföldi asked that the School first consider the following statement: "When Woodhead's candidacy was put forward I expressed my full sympathy with Professor Meritt's desire to see the continuation of his field. I expressed as well my full understanding of the needs of the great Agora excavations and, finally, I expressed my full sympathy towards the personality of the candidate. What I could not accept was a full professorship for Woodhead and I shall try again to tell you my reasons in a more explicit way than on the last occasion why I would find a permanent membership more suitable for him.

"The beginnings of the Historical School were an experiment without any clear definition of the fields to be represented. There was an attempt to have here economists; there was appointed a distinguished orientalist, a pre-historian, and so on; and the principle was to have

first-class men quite independently from any consistency or connection with the fields of the other colleagues. This was the right way to begin such an experiment but, with time, the outlines of a coherent Historical School began to manifest themselves. This has been realized and nothing could better characterize the fundamental change in building up a permanent faculty than the way in which chairs were chosen previously and began to be established finally in recent years. Earlier the continuity of a chair was out of the question on principle; now the predecessor chooses the successor. The Historical School is changing in this way from a private enterprise to a public institution and therefore a definite distribution of fields is needed.

"At a full Faculty meeting I tried to illustrate this need of a well planned distribution of fields of research with the three Greek professors of our School, a number that I find ideal for one of the main groups of scholars in our Historical School for such a small frame, which cannot be extended on the basis of our present financial possibilities. It is clear that in building up a serious library as well as in serving the research work of the temporary members, the School as an organic whole can have a far greater effect and impact on our visiting scholars than in scattered fields without any coherence. The fifteen hundred years of Roman history with all the problems of a world empire—spiritual, economic and social, administrative, with all the military aspects, accompanied by all the special fields of sourcecriticism, epigraphy, numismatics, typology of the archaeological stuff, and so on—need at least as many chairs as the Greek fields. I think, consequently, that before filling a vacancy in an arbitrary way we must consider the proportions of our faculty.

"Moreover, the candidate, as I have already stressed, though being excellently equipped for his special field and giving the best hopes of becoming a distinguished scholar, has not yet produced a major work fundamental or essential for the whole of classical scholarship. We have the best paid chairs in the world, and the least duties. It is not enough to be an excellent specialist to be elected here. The best jb in the world affords the best man in the world. I was much impressed by the statement of Marston Morse at the last full Faculty meeting that the most important thing for the Mathematics School over the last 27 years was to have the best men in the world as professors. I am sure we are not worse than they are and we can even over-bid them, and we must have in any case the same high aspirations as they have. When we elected Bischoff, and desired to have Seyrig, we made in fact the choice of scholars who are world leaders in their fields.

"I am aware of course that my proposition of a well paid permanent membership for Woodhead did not meet with much sympathy. But I think that the case of Placzek belongs to another category. I wish to explain what I have in mind. I realize that for the mathematicians this category does not have much sense. Our case is very different. The mathematicians and physicists of our faculty achieve their results with their phenomenal brains in an abstract deductive way and so they do not need much help and

assistance. They produce everything alone. We are the opposite, working in an empirical way, inductively, and our results can be enhanced, speeded, and advanced very much with the help of assistants. This need is varied of course in every case and for every problem. But, for instance, the magnificent enterprise of the Agora excavations simply could not be dealt with without such a differentiated staff of helpers who range from the full professor down to the typist. In this series of helpers, beginning with secretarial workers, along with a more simple scientific assistance, but affording also competent and highly trained scientists as a constant help, I find it necessary to have sometimes also a helper of high efficiency as a permanent member. Finally, we have the best hopes that in the seven years until Ben Meritt's retirement, Mr. Woodhead will acquire the renown needed and will become such a distinguished, universally recognized scholar that the School can elect him as a worthy successor to his predecessor, towards whom I have the highest regards."

Professor Panofsky said that he was in sympathy with Professor Alföldi in respect to the balance of the School; that he would prefer to vote on Mr. Woodhead's nomination if it were possible to nominate at the same time a modern historian. Professor Meiss was of the same opinion.

Professor Thompson asked if there could be assurance of the practicality of making two appointments now, and Dr. Oppenheimer said he felt he had already said as much to this point as he could.

Professor Kantorowicz said that the purpose in proposing Dr. Gilbert now was to improve the imbalance of the School. Professor Panofsky stated that the School has long been looking for a modern historian; that it would be remiss in its duties if it were willfully to create an imbalance.

Dr. Oppenheimer stated, because of its bearing on the Woodhead appointment, that his only argument against the appointment of Dr. Gilbert would be his age: that he would be 58 or 59 before coming to the Institute; he also stated that he regarded the Institute as a place to do one's work rather than a place to enjoy a prize for having done it.

Professor Cherniss stated that he would prefer to vote on one thing at a time, that he would rather vote on Woodhead as Woodhead and then consider the nomination of a second candidate.

Dr. Oppenheimer asked if there were any other sentiment in favor of re-creating permanent memberships.

Dr. Alföldi asked that the experience of past years be disregarded since he felt the present case to be entirely different from the cases which had previously proved to be unfortunate.

Professor Cherniss said that he would vote against the nomination of anyone on the basis of a permanent membership. Professor Kantorowicz agreed.

Dr. Oppenheimer commented, with regard to one aspect of the statement made by Professor Alföldi, that some people work with a minimum of
apparatus, by themselves and with their colleagues, and that some branches
of mathematics and physics lend themselves very well to this. The computer
was the furthest step taken in the direction of introducing empirical science
to the Institute. He said that he felt operations which involved a large
ratio of people were not for the Institute. Among the reasons for this
is the fact that the Institute exists largely for the temporary members;
also budgetary restrictions, and our desire to remain fairly small. He
said he felt that work in archaeology and epigraphy should not be thought
of as great machines but rather as a field of scholarship which could be
in closest touch with empirical material without being engaged in the
operation of gathering it.

Professor Thompson said he was in sympathy with Professor Alföldi regarding greater uniformity of representation. He felt, however, the principle that we should have the best possible people here was of over-riding importance and that he regarded Woodhead as the best candidate for a professorship; that he was ideally equipped, and that there was a specific need for having him here at this time. Between the two considerations, he believed the second to be over-riding.

The Executive Officer stated that the faculty voted six to one in favor of the nomination of Mr. Geoffrey Woodhead.

The nomination of Professor Felix Gilbert was then proposed and considered. The Director suggested, and it was agreed, that there should be no reference in the presentation to the former consideration of Dr. Gilbert as a permanent member. He also suggested that the 1949 letter written by Professor Hermann Weyl be used in full in the text of the presentation. Professor Kantorowicz reported that he had written to Dr. Haho Holborn of Yale for a letter of recommendation and that he intended to write to Professor Gordon Craig in California. Professor Cherniss said that if a good letter could be obtained without writing to Dr. Craig it would be better. Professor Meiss suggested that Professor Myron Gilmore of Harvard was well acquainted with Dr. Gilbert. Professor Alföldi said he had no trust in recommendations from outside and he felt it was much more important that Professor Kantorowicz stress the fact that Dr. Gilbert is a leading scholar in his field. Professor Meiss reported that he had talked with Dr. Richard Krautheimer about Gilbert who knew of the latter's work in the Renaissance field. Professor Panofsky felt that Gilbert's article, "Bernardo Rucellai and the Orti Oricellari: A Study on the Origin of Modern Political Thought," should be stressed as a masterpiece and recognized as such by students of the Renaissance.

The Executive Officer asked for a vote on the nomination of Dr. Felix Gilbert. It was unanimously agreed to recommend his nomination to the faculty.

4. The application for temporary membership received from Dr. Stanley L. Cavell was discussed. Professor Alföldi stated that the initiative came from Professor Pais who stressed the point that he is not competent in making the suggestion, which is true. On the other hand, there were good recommendations, on the ground of which we could appoint him to a junior fellow, not having enough published studies for the normal membership; though we have no money left in the Herodotus Fund, we could use the money offered by the Director.

Dr. Oppenheimer said that such support as Dr. Cavell may require could appropriately come from the Director's Fund; that his work was at the very edge of what the School of Historical Studies was doing and this was an argument against making Cavell a Herodotus Fellow. It was voted to give Dr. Cavell membership for the year 1962/63, on the Director's Fund; Professors Panofsky and Alföldi abstained.

- 5. Professor Panofsky reported that Dr. F. W. Sternfeld, a former member, would like to apply for membership in 1963/64.
- 6. Professor Meiss reported that Professor Marjorie Nicolson is retiring from Columbia University and proposed that she be invited to a two-year membership at the Institute. Dr. Oppenheimer asked if it would not be more appropriate to invite her for three years. He stated, however, that a three-year appointment would have to be approved by the Faculty and Trustees, and with arrangements for special funding.

The Executive Officer asked for a vote. Professor Kantorowicz said he was not prepared at this time to vote on the membership. Dr. Oppenheimer suggested that the matter be concluded in a week or two weeks! time by letter ballot. He asked Professor Meiss to prepare a one-page statement about Miss Nicolson which could be presented to the Faculty and Trustees.

- 7. The Executive Officer raised the question of the date for the next full Faculty meeting at which time the two nominations for professorships would be presented. It was agreed that March 5 or 6 would be the best possible dates, since Professor Thompson would be leaving for Greece on March 7.
- 8. Professor Meritt asked whether the element of time of appointment (the date of its beginning) should be stated in making the presentations to the full Faculty. It was agreed that the time of appointment should not be mentioned.

The meeting adjourned at 12:40 p.m.

## COPY

February 27, 1962

Professor Andrew Alföldi Executive Officer School of Historical Studies The Institute for Advanced Study Princeton, New Jersey

Dear Professor Alföldi:

I wish to clarify now one point that I failed to make clear in our last meeting. The final formulation of opinion on the appointment of Professor Woodhead, in which I am counted among the yeas, did not quite conform to the views I expressed in our discussion. In a vote on this appointment I should be considered as having abstained.

Faithfully yours,

/s/ Millard Meiss

MM:ek

Minutes of the meeting of the School of Historical Studies Held in the Director's Office, April 4, 1962, at 11:30 a.m.

Present: The Director, Professor Alföldi, Cherniss, Kantorowicz, Meiss, Meritt, and Panofsky.

- 1. The minutes of the last meeting were approved with the following correction: page 4, para.4--"The Director suggested, and it was agreed, that there should be no reference in the presentation to the former consideration of Dr. Gilbert as a long-term member."
- 2. Professor Alföldi reported that Dr. James Gilliam had requested postponement of membership from 1962/63 to 1963/64. It was approved.
- 3. The Executive Officer asked if the faculty wished to consider again the membership application from Dr. Tahsin Özgüc, saying that Miss Goldman was eager to have Dr. Ozgüc here. After discussion, it was agreed to extend membership for the academic year 1962/63 with a stipend of \$6,000 and \$500 in reserve for travel. It was agreed further to ask Miss Goldman for a supporting letter. Dr. Oppenheimer said that the letter of appointment would explain the reason for its delay and state that if it is too late for 1962/63, the School would be glad to consider the appointment in 1963/64.
- 4. The invitation to Dr. Marjorie Hope Nicolson was discussed. It was agreed to offer a two-years membership to Dr. Nicolson, to start with the year 1963/64 or, if she is free to come earlier, in 1962/63. The funding of this membership is to be arranged by the Director.
- 5. The following requests for summer residence in 1962 were approved:

Miss Clairève Grandjouan - May 1 to August 30 Dr. E. M. Manasse - July 1 to August 30

- 6. Professor Kantorowicz and Professor Cherniss discussed a possible invitation to Miss Ramnoux who is now at the University of Algiers, and asked the School to approve stand-by authority to issue such an invitation if it would be helpful to Miss Ramnoux. The School agreed, and Professor Cherniss was authorized to send an inquiry and, in the event of an affirmative answer, Dr. Oppenheimer was authorized to send an invitation to Miss Ramnoux for the next term, or for the year.
- 7. Professor Meiss was elected executive officer for the year 1962/63.
- 8. Professor Panofsky proposed a vote of thanks to Professor Alföldi for his services as executive officer. It was unanimously voted.
- 9. The Executive Officer thanked the secretary for her excellent services.
- 10. Professor Panofsky was given a letter from his colleagues on the occasion of his retirement, offering their best wishes.

Minutes of the meeting of the School of Historical Studies Held in the Director's Office, October 8, 1962, at 11 a.m.

Present: The Director, Professors Alföldi, Cherniss, Gilbert, Kantorowicz, Meiss, Meritt, and Thompson.

- 1. The minutes of the last meeting were approved.
- 2. The following actions taken by mail vote were approved:
  - (a) Dr. Porphyrios Dikaios! membership was postponed to the year 1963/64.

(b) Dr. Herbert Feis was voted membership for the year 1962/63.

- (c) Dr. Leonard Krieger was voted membership for the second term of 1962/63, with a stipend of \$3,000 to be transferred from Professor Gilbert's assistant's fund.
- (d) Dr. Kurt Bittel was voted membership for the second term of 1962/63, with a stipend of \$3,000 and \$500 held in reserve for travel.
- 3. The Executive Officer reported that Dr. Enrico Paribeni was unable to accept membership in 1962/63 and had asked if the membership could be postponed to the year 1963/64. Postponement was approved.
- 4. Dr. Oppenheimer reported that the Bureau of Internal Revenue has ruled that the stipends of members coming from abroad are exempt only to the extent of \$300 a month. Inasmuch as this creates a hardship for foreign members their stipends will be recomputed and a sum approximately equal to their taxes will be added. Dr. Oppenheimer said that the additional funds to cover the increased stipends in the present year would not need to come from the Stipend Fund of the School and that in the future an increased stipend appropriation for the School would be budgeted. He said that he thought it might be wise to increase all stipends in the School, and the faculty informally concurred. Stipends in the School of Mathematics are now considerably higher than in this. He also felt that an increase in the number of members accepted each year might be considered.
- 5. Professor Meiss discussed the application for membership received from Dr. J. N. Hillgarth, and inquired if he would be eligible for the Herodotus fellowship. It was decided that Mr. Hillgarth was technically eligible.
- 6. Dr. Oppenheimer discussed a letter received from Professor R. R. Palmer of Princeton University in which Professor Palmer mentioned as possible candidates for membership at the Institute the names of three French scholars coming to the United States for the meetings of the Society for French Historical Studies in the fall of 1964. They are: Philippe Wolfe, J. B. Duroselle, and C. E. Labrousse. Professor Gilbert said that he had

talked with Professor Palmer about these scholars and believed that of the three Mr. Duroselle was in a better position to find assistance outside the Institute. Professor Gilbert said he would consider both Mr. Wolfe and Mr. Labrousse desirable candidates.

Dr. Oppenheimer also mentioned the names of two men recommended by Mr. Harold Hochschild: Professor Trevor-Roper and Mr. Frankel. He reported that Professor Albert Hofstadter might apply for membership and that he would be a candidate for the Director's fund.

- 7. In connection with the discussion of candidates for the year 1964/65, Professor Cherniss reminded the faculty that the International Congress of Classical Studies would be held in Philadelphia in the fall of 1964 and that there would no doubt be a super-abundance of European classicists applying for membership in the Institute at that time.
- 8. Dr. Oppenheimer discussed the revival of interest in Chinese history and the Institute's former interest in the Gest Oriental Library, now housed at Princeton University. He said that it had been decided in 1948 that Chinese historiography lay outside the scope of our work, and he felt that the Institute should not now alter its position. He reported a letter from Mr. Dix of the University Library asking if the Institute would contribute toward the preparation and publication of a Catalogue of the library. After discussion it was agreed that the School would welcome the Catalogue, and might consider a membership for this purpose at some time in the future.
- 9. A list of applicants for membership in 1963/64 was circulated, and the candidates sponsored by members of the faculty were identified so as to provide a preliminary guide to the prospects for that year.

Millard Meiss Executive Officer

## MINUTES

Of the meeting of the School of Historical Studies
Held in the Director's Office, December 3, 1962 at 10:30 a.m.

Present: The Director, Professors Alföldi, Cherniss, Gilbert, Meritt, Meiss, Thompson, and Professor Panofsky as proxy for Professor Kantorowicz.

- 1. The minutes of the last meeting were approved.
- 2. Dr. Oppenheimer said that he was rather hopeful about the new appropriation (an increase of \$30,000 in the stipend budget). He reported that he had sent a notice of it to the Budget Committee and the chairman had replied cordially. He also talked to the Chairman of the Board of Trustees, who is favorable. While the new appropriation of \$120,000 for the academic year 1963/64 could not be considered official at this time, the faculty might proceed as though it were. The Executive Officer reviewed the number of applicants for membership in 1963/64, the amount of money available, and the problems of office space.

All stipends were increased by the sums of \$500 for a full academic year and \$300 for one term to assist the members to pay the income tax on their stipends.

3. The following applications for membership were declined:

A. E. Astin	Martin Levey Mendel Metzger			
Lowell P. Beveridge				
O. P. Bhatnagar	Kazuo Ohta			
S. Ghose	P. T. Raju			
Lewis Hertzman	F. W. Sternfeld			
G. Krien-Kummrow	Glenn E. Watkins			
Donald Kagan				

4. The following applications for membership were accepted:

Giovanni Becatti	year	\$ 6,250.	\$500 in reserve for travel
Peter Brieger	year	6,000.	H
André Chastagnol	first	3,800.	500
Kenan T. Erim	second	3,300.	-
Elisabeth Ettlinger	second	3,800.	-
Marie-Madeleine Gauthier	second	3,300.	500
Oron James Hale	year	6,000.	
Roland Hampe	year	6,250.	500
Erik J. Holmberg	year	6,250.	500
Richard Krautheimer	second	3,300.	H
David M. Lewis	year	6,500.	500
Domenico Maffei	first	3,800.	500
Guido A. Mansuelli	year	6,500.	500

Giuseppe Marchini	first	3,300.	500 in	reserve	for	travel
Markellos Mitsos	first	3,800.	500			
John Hine Mundy	year	6,500.	-			
John Papadimitriou	first	3,300.	500			
Arthur L. Peck	year	5,500.	500			
Gaines Post	first	3,300.	•			
Nicolai Rubinstein	year	6,000.	500			
Gerhard Schmidt	second	3,800.	500			
Henri Seyrig	second	3,300.	500			
Karl Stroheker	year	5,500.	500			
George B. Tindall	year	6,500.	844			
Bertie Wilkinson	year	6,000.	-			
Günther Zuntz	year	<u>6,500</u> .	500			
		\$128,350.	\$8,500.			

Dr. Jocelyn Nigel Hillgarth was elected to membership on the Herodotus Fellowship for the year 1963/64 with a stipend of \$5,500 and \$500 held in reserve for travel.

Decision on the applications of Dr. J.P.V.D. Balsdon and Dr. Ralph B. Pugh was postponed, with the understanding that should a vacancy occur in the appointed list of members, Mr. Balsdon would be appointed. Mr. Pugh would be appointed to fill a second vacancy if one should occur.

- 5. It was agreed to grant visitor's privileges to Dr. Chihiro Hosoya during the second term of the present academic year, without commitment as to housing or office space.
- 6. Professor Alföldi suggested, and it was agreed, that Sir Ronald Syme be invited to visit the Institute for a period of two weeks during the second term. A sum of \$500 will be made available from the Visitors! Fund.
- 7. Dr. Oppenheimer suggested that the School might consider its desirable size. He stated that this is the first year that the cramping seemed intolerable and he observed that we obviously could be more helpful than we are being. He said that the School need not feel that the present limitations, accidental in origin, are eternal, and he thought the School might consider before the Spring the question of the appropriate number of members for any one year.

Millard Meiss Executive Officer